STANDARD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

| Plaintiff(s), v. |) Case No. Judge Pamela A. Barker REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Defendant(s). |) <u>MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.</u>) <u>P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)</u>) |
| 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. G | Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16.3(b)(3), a meeting was held on |
| , an | d was attended by: |
| cou | insel for plaintiff(s) |
| cou | unsel for plaintiff(s) |
| cou | insel for defendant(s) |
| cou | insel for defendant(s) |
| | |
| 2. The parties: | |
| have exchanged the pre-disc | overy disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and the |
| Court's prior order; | |
| will exchange such disclosu | res by; |

| have not been requ | nired to make initial disclosures. | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 3. The parties | recommend the following track: | |
| Expedited | Standard | Complex |
| Administrative | Mass Tort | |
| 4. This case is ("ADR") mechanisms: | s suitable for one or more of the follo | wing Alternative Dispute Resolution |
| Early Neutral Evalua | ntionMediation | Arbitration |
| Summary Jury Trial | Summary Bench Tri | ial |
| Case not suitable for | ADR | |
| 5. The parties Magistrate Judge pursuant | do/do not consent to the juto 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). | urisdiction of the United States |
| 6. Electronica | lly Stored Information. The Parties: (| indicate one): |
| agree that there will | be no discovery of electronically-stor | red information; or |
| have agreed to a med | thod for conducting discovery of elec | tronically-stored information; |
| or | | |
| have agreed to follow | w the default standard for discovery of | of electronically-stored |
| information (Annendix K to | o Northern District of Ohio Local Ru | les) |

| 7. | Recommended Discovery Plan: |
|-----------|---|
| | (a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and |
| extent of | f discovery. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | (b) Discovery cut-off date: |
| 8. | Recommended dispositive motion date: |
| 9. | Recommended expert discovery date: |
| | Expert report(s) by party initially seeking to introduce expert testimony |
| | recommended due date |
| | Responsive expert report(s) due date: |
| | |
| 10 |). Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional |
| rties: _ | |
| | |
| 11 | . Recommended date for Status Hearing: |
| | |
| 12 | 2. Other matters for the attention of the Court: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| Attorney for Plaintiff(s) |
|---------------------------|
| |
| Attorney for Plaintiff(s) |
| Attorney for Defendant(s) |
| Attorney for Defendant(s) |